IN THE

ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
Appellee,

v.

EVANS OCHOA,
Appellant.

No. 2 CA-CR 2025-0300
Filed November 25, 2026

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.
NOT FOR PUBLICATION
See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.19(e).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County
No. CR20250153001
The Honorable Casey F. McGinley, Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Law Offices of Michael J. Dew, Phoenix
By Michael J. Dew
Counsel for Appellant

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Judge Sklar authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Kelly and Judge Brearcliffe concurred.

S K L A R, Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, appellant Evans Ochoa was convicted of theft of a means of transportation. The trial court sentenced him to an enhanced, mitigated prison term of 7.5 years.

¶2 On appeal, counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297 (1969), asserting that he reviewed the record but found no "arguable question of law that is not frivolous." Consistent with *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 30 (App. 1999), counsel has provided a factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record and has asked this court to search the record for reversible error. Ochoa has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Viewed in the light most favorable to affirming the verdict, *see State v. Holle*, 240 Ariz. 300, ¶ 2 (2016), the evidence is sufficient here, *see* A.R.S. §§ 13-1801(A)(2), (9), 13-1814(A)(5). In January 2025, officers located a vehicle, which was owned by A.R. and had been reported stolen, in Ochoa's apartment complex and then observed Ochoa leaving the complex in that vehicle. When the vehicle was stopped, A.R.'s registration and insurance documentation were found spread throughout the vehicle, and Ochoa had numerous personal items inside.

¶4 The record supports the trial court's finding that Ochoa had at least two historical prior convictions. *See* A.R.S. § 13-105(22). The sentence imposed is within the statutory range. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-703(C), (J), 13-1814(D).

¶5 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for reversible error and have found none. *See State v. Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575 (1985). Accordingly, we affirm Ochoa's conviction and sentence.