

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
Appellee,

v.

JAVIER S. ESCARREGA,
Appellant.

No. 2 CA-CR 2014-0165
Filed December 4, 2014

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County
No. CR20120688001
The Honorable Javier Chon-Lopez, Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Law Offices of Cornelia Wallis Honchar, P.C., Tucson
By Cornelia Wallis Honchar
Counsel for Appellant

STATE v. ESCARREGA
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Miller authored the decision of the Court, in which Chief Judge Eckerstrom and Judge Espinosa concurred.

M I L L E R, Presiding Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Javier Escarrega was convicted of first-degree burglary, arson, and criminal damage in the amount of \$10,000 or more. He was sentenced to concurrent prison terms, the longest of which was 11.25 years.

¶2 Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), asserting she has reviewed the record but found no arguable issue to raise on appeal. Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97, she has provided “a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record” and asks this court to search the record for error. Escarrega has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdicts, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), sufficient evidence supports the jury’s verdicts. That evidence demonstrates Escarrega and another individual started a gasoline fire inside a barber shop, causing extensive damage. A.R.S. §§ 13-1506(A)(1), 13-1508(A), 13-1602(A)(1), 13-1703(A). Sufficient evidence also supports the trial court’s finding that Escarrega has two historical prior felony convictions. A.R.S. §§ 13-105(22), 13-703(C). His prison terms are within the statutory limit and were imposed properly. A.R.S. §§ 13-703(J), 13-1508(B), 13-1602(B)(1), 13-1703(B).

¶4 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and found none. *See State v. Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575, 694 P.2d 1185, 1189 (1985)

STATE v. ESCARREGA
Decision of the Court

(stating *Anders* requires court to search record for fundamental error). Accordingly, we affirm Escarrega's convictions and sentences.